

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,549	06/27/2003	Jae Cheol Lyu	K-103C	7415
34610 75	11/09/2006		EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			PERRIN, JOSEPH L	
P.O. BOX 2212 CHANTILLY,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
1746 DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006			1746	
		5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/607,549	LYU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.	1746				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	 -			
	• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH	(C) OD THIDTY (20) DAVO	-			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DIPLICATION OF THE MAILING DIPLIC	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A	uaust 2006.					
-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 7-18 and 21-31 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 21-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have heen received					
	Certified copies of the priority document		tion No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,					
	application from the International Burea	· ·	J				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmer	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO_413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>20061003;20060726;20060411</u> .	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				
	rademark Office	0/	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/607,549 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Regarding the rejection under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, applicant argues that the claimed "configured to" language is supported in the original disclosure, pointing to Figures 7-8 and the corresponding disclosure in paragraphs 44-46. While this portion of the original disclosure supports a specific configuration (i.e. the configuration of Figures 7-8), the claimed "configured to" language is broader in scope than the specific configuration of Figures 7-8 and therefore is considered new matter because the scope of claim 7 is broader than the original disclosure as filed. That is, the "configured to" language claimed by applicant reads on other configurations other than the configuration of Figures 7-8 which are capable of performing the function of adjusting the space. Thus, applicant's original disclosure fails to provide adequate support for the scope of the claimed invention which is broader in scope than the particular configuration of the original disclosure (Figures 7-8 and relative associated text).
- 2. Regarding the rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, applicant's arguments in view of the amendment to claim 7 are persuasive and the rejection is withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments in view of the amendment filed 31 August 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7 & 21-31 under 102(b) as being anticipated by KOHSAKA & under 103(a) as being unpatentable over LEE have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon

further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,657,650 to LEE *et al.* (hereinafter "LEE '650).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's limitation of "configured to adjust a space between the upper tub cover and the lower tub cover" is considered new matter. This broad "configured to" language reads on various structures not disclosed or supported by applicant's original disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claim 21-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by LEE '650. LEE '650 discloses a washing machine having a tub cover (100) mounted to the top of outer tub (220), the tub cover comprising an annular upper tub cover (110) and

Application/Control Number: 10/607,549

Art Unit: 1746

annular lower tub cover (130) connected to the outer tub forming washing water passage (140) thereinbetween for guiding washing water through spray nozzles (160/162) and onto the clothing, the upper and lower covers having portions which extend vertically and provide a space between the covers (readable on the broad recitation "height adjusting member" which provide a space thereinbetween) (see Figures 1-4 and relative associated text). The Examiner notes that the rejection of the "height adjusting member" still applies as in the previous rejection since such member existing to provide a space anticipates applicant's broadly claimed "member". That is, the member does not positively recite the member adjustably spacing the upper and lower tub covers and without such functional language any structure which spaces the tub covers is considered to read on a "height adjusting member". Given the broadest reasonable interpretation in view of the specification, for instance original claim 8, the member may provide function "for maintaining a space between the upper tub cover and the lower tub cover" and absent any functional language the claimed "member" will be examined accordingly. Applicant is urged to positively recite the adjustable space function for all claims directed to the height adjusting member (i.e. claims 8 & 23) to clearly distinguish the claimed height adjusting members over LEE '650.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/607,549 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30, except alternate Fridays.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,549

Art Unit: 1746

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000-

Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1746

JLP